



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,343	02/25/2000	JEAN-MARIE BERNARD	004900-174	9972

7590 05/22/2002  
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS  
PO BOX 1404  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER  
NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1714	10

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/486,343

Applicant(s)

BERNARD ET AL.

Examiner

Patrick D. Niland

Art Unit

1714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 12-44, and 46-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1714

1. The amendment of 3/5/02 has been entered. Claims 1-10 and 12-65 are pending.
2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-41 and 46-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5300556 Tirpak et al..

Tirpak et al. discloses the instantly claimed methods, compositions, method of coating, and coating at the abstract; column 2, line 15 to column 10, line 44, particularly column 3, lines 1-68, column 4, lines 1-38 and 60-68; column 5, lines 1-68, column 6, lines 3-65, and column 7, lines 1-27 and the examples. The instant claims do not require "block polyisocyanates", they require blocked polyisocyanates, which the patentee clearly discloses. See the title and the sections relied on above. It is noted that the dispersion of blocked polyisocyanate, emulsifier, and water falls within the scope of emulsion. This is clear to the ordinary skilled artisan and should be clear to others based on the similarity of "emulsion" and "emulsifier". This rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 1-44 and 46-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 5300556 Tirpak et al. in view of EP 367667 Yasuda et al. .

Tirpak discloses the compositions, methods, and coatings as discussed above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed surfactants as the surfactants used by these patentees because Yasuda shows such surfactants to impart desired properties to aqueously dispersed polyurethanes and these surfactants would have been expected to impart these properties to the above discussed emulsions where the surfactant of Yasuda is used as the surfactants of the primary references. With regard to the particle sizes of the instant claims, those of water soluble particles such as polyether particles are expected to be very small, i.e. within those of the instant claim 56, and those of dispersed polyurethanes are typically less than 10 micrometers with smaller particles being more stable. Furthermore, self dispersible polyurethanes are typically less than 1 micrometer in size as would be appreciated by the ordinary skilled artisan. Thus, the examiner believes that the state of aqueous self emulsifiable polyurethanes is such that the ordinary skilled artisan would necessarily use the instantly claimed particle sizes in the emulsions of the primary references. it is at least obvious to use these particle sizes in the primary references of this rejection because they are known to give the most stable emulsions at ambient conditions. Yasuda is relied on for its teaching of known polyisocyanate emulsifiers, not the other parameters argued by the applicant.

6. Claims 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. Nos. 5037864 Anand et al. and 4879322 Markusch et al..

Art Unit: 1714

The figures on the covers of Anand et al. and Markusch et al. disclose plants having the limitations and means of the instant claims 42-44. The applicant's argument that the instant claims require means for recirculating the aqueous phase in the form of a masked polyisocyanate emulsion. Given the connectivity of the lines of the figures of the references, one would merely need to reverse pumps shown in the figures to obtain the claimed recirculation. Thus, this means is clearly present.

7. Claim 45 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1714

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Niland whose telephone number is (703) 308-3510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

pn

May 18, 2002



Patrick Niland

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714